This article was downloaded by: On: 22 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Chen, Gang , Wang, Ding and Pei, Yue-Hu(2008) 'Two new C₂₁ steroidal glycosides from *Cynanchum wallichii* Wight', Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 10: 7, 671 – 676 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/10286020801967243 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020801967243

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



Two new C₂₁ steroidal glycosides from Cynanchum wallichii Wight

Gang Chen, Ding Wang and Yue-Hu Pei*

School of Traditional Chinese Materia Medica, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, Shenyang 110016, China

(Received 17 January 2007; final version received 30 May 2007)

Two new C_{21} steroidal glycosides, characterized with caudatin as the aglycone moiety and 2,6-dideoxy-pyranoses as component sugars, have been isolated from the roots of *Cynanchum wallichii* Wight. Their structures were elucidated as caudatin-3-*O*- β -D-cymaropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-digitoxopyranoside (1) and caudatin-3-*O*- β -D-oleandropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-cymaropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-cymarop

Keywords: *Cynanchum wallichii* Wight; C₂₁ steroidal glycosides; 2,6-dideoxy-pyranoses; caudatin-3-O- β -D-cymaropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-digitoxopyranoside; caudatin-3-O- β -D-oleandropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-cymaropyranoside

1. Introduction

Cynanchum wallichii Weight, Duanjieshen, is a traditional Chinese medicine distributed extensively over southwest China. It is used as the primary drug in the famous Chinese prescription 'hulisan,' which is used to treat arthrophlogosis and injury from fall or fracture. A pentaglycoside and wallicoside, has been isolated from its roots [1]. In this paper, we report the isolation and the structural elucidation of two novel pregnane glycosides from the roots of *C. wallichii* Weight.

2. Results and discussion

Compound **1** was obtained as a white amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 20.6$ (*c* 0.89, MeOH). The molecular formula was determined to be C₄₁H₆₄O₁₃ by HRFABMS at *m*/*z* 764.4373 [M]⁺. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** showed two anomeric proton signals at δ 5.46 (*J* = 9.5 and 1.3 Hz) and 5.11 (*J* = 9.5 and 1.3 Hz). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **1** showed two anomeric carbon signals at δ 96.4 and 99.7. The carbon signals assignable to the aglycone moiety were similar to those of caudatin [1], with glycosylation shifts at C-3 (+5.2), C-2 (-2.1), and C-4 (-4.0). Hence, compound **1** was considered to be caudatin 3-*O*-diglycoside.

In ${}^{1}\text{H}-{}^{1}\text{H}$ COSY spectrum (Table 1), the signal at δ 4.30 (H-5") correlated with the signals at δ 3.51 (H-4") and δ 1.45 (H-6"), the signal at δ 3.51 (H-4") correlated with the signal at δ 4.63 (H-3"). In HMBC spectrum, the signal at δ 4.30 (H-5") correlated with the anomeric carbon signal at δ 96.4 (C-1"), the anomeric proton signal at δ 5.46 (H-1["]) showed a correlation with the signals at δ 77.6 (C-3) and 39.1 (C-2") (Figure 2). Thus, the signals at δ 96.4, 39.1, 67.5, 83.5, 68.6, and 18.6 were determined to be those of the sugar which was attached to C-3 of the aglycone by analysis of ${}^{1}H - {}^{1}H$ COSY, HMBC, and HSQC spectral data. The coupling constants of H-4" (9.5 and 2.9 Hz) and H-3" (2.9 Hz) showed that H-4" and H-5" were axial and H-3" was equatorial. In addition, the configuration of H-1" was

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: peiyueh@vip.163.com

G. Chen et al.



Figure 1. The structures of compounds 1 and 2.

axial according to the coupling constant of H-1^{*II*} (9.5 and 1.3 Hz), the sugar was determined as β -D-digitoxopyranoses by comparing the NMR spectral data with those reported in the literature [2,3].

The terminal sugar was determined in the same way, the correlations between H-6''' and H-5", H-5" and H-4", and H-4" and H-3" were observed in ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum. In HMBC spectrum, the signal at $\delta 4.09$ (H-5^{'''}) showed a long-range correlation with the anomeric carbon signal at δ 99.7 (C-1^{///}), the anomeric proton signal at δ 5.11 (H-1^{///}) correlated with the signals at δ 83.5 (C-4") and 35.6 (C-2"). In addition, a long-range correlation between the signal at δ 3.45 ($-OCH_3$) and the signal at δ 78.8 (C-3^{*III*}) was observed. The signals at δ 99.7, 35.6, 78.8, 74.1, 70.9, 18.9, and 58.0 were determined to be those of the terminal sugar which was attached to the C-4^{''} of β -D-digitoxopyranose. According to the coupling constants of H-4^{///} (9.5 and 3.0 Hz), H-3^{III} (3.0 Hz) and H-1^{III} (9.5 and 1.3 Hz), H-1", H-4", and H-5" were considered to be axial, and H-3^m was considered to be equatorial. Thus, the terminal sugar was determined as β -D-cymaropyranose by comparing the NMR spectral data with those reported in literatures [2,3]. Thus, compound **1** was elucidated as caudatin 3-O- β -D-cymaropyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-digitoxopyranoside (Figure 1).

Compound **2** was obtained as a white amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 15.0$ (*c* 0.83, MeOH). The molecular formula was determined to be $C_{42}H_{66}O_{13}$ by HRFABMS at m/z778.4517 [M]⁺. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **2** showed two anomeric proton signals at δ 5.27 (J = 9.5 and 1.3 Hz) and 4.76 (J = 9.5 and 1.3 Hz). The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **2** showed two anomeric carbon signals at δ 96.4 and 102.2. Comparing its NMR signals with those of compounds **1** and **2** was determined to be a caudatin 3-*O*-diglycoside with different sugar chain.

The signal at δ 1.45 (H-6") correlated with the signal at δ 4.24 (H-5"), the signal at δ 3.54 (H-4") correlated with the signals at δ 4.24 (H-5") and δ 4.08 (H-3") in ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum (Table 2). In HMBC spectrum, the



Figure 2. The key HMBC correlations of compounds 1 and 2.

signal at δ 3.57 (-OCH₃) showed a longrange correlation with the signal at δ 77.9 (C-3"), and the anomeric proton signal at δ 5.27 correlated with the signals at δ 77.7 (C-3), 69.0 (C-5"), and 37.2 (C-2"). Thus, the signals at δ 96.4, 37.2, 77.9, 83.5, 69.0, 18.7, and 58.8 were determined to be the signals of the sugar which was attached to C-3 of aglycone by analysis of the ¹H-¹H COSY, HMBC, and HSQC spectral data. Since, the coupling constants of H-4", H-3", and H-1" were 9.5 and 2.6, 2.6, and 9.5 and 1.3 Hz, respectively, the configurations of H-4", H-1", and H-5" were considered to be axial, and H-3" was considered to be equatorial. Thus, the sugar was determined as β -D-cymaropyranoses by comparing the spectral NMR data with those reported in literatures [2,4].

The terminal sugar was determined by analysis of the HMBC and HSQC spectral data. In HMBC spectrum, the proton signal at δ 1.55 (H-6^{*III*}) showed correlation with the signals at δ 72.9 (C-5^{*III*}) and 76.2 (C-4^{*III*}), the anomeric proton signal at δ 4.76 (H-1^{*III*}) correlated with the signals at δ 72.9 (C-5^{*III*}), 81.4 (C-3^{*III*}), 37.2 (C-2^{*III*}), and 83.5 (C-4^{*III*}), the signal at δ 3.46 (–OCH₃) showed a long-rang

Table 1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data of compound **1**.

Position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	Position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$
1	38.9	2.43 (m) and 2.52 (m)	2'	114.2	_
2	29.8	1.78 (m) and 2.06 (m)	3'	165.4	-
3	77.6	3.86 (m)	4'	38.1	1.10 (m)
4	39.2	(a)	5'	20.8	0.93 (d, 6.0, 3H)
5	139.4	_	6'	20.9	0.95 (d, 6.0, 3H)
6	119.2	5.30 (br. t)	7′	16.5	2.26 (s, 3H)
7	34.7	2.30 (m) and 2.41 (m)	β-D-Dgt		
8	74.2	_	1″	96.4	5.46 (dd, 9.5, 1.3)
9	44.6	1.70 (m)	2"	39.1	(a)
10	37.4	_	3″	67.5	4.63 (d, 2.9)
11	25.1	2.12 (m) and 2.25 (m)	4″	83.5	3.51 (dd, 9.5, 2.9)
12	72.6	5.03 (dd, 11.6, 3.9)	5″	68.6	4.30 (m)
13	57.9	_	6″	18.6	1.45 (d, 6.5)
14	89.4	_	β-d-Cym		
15	33.8	2.07 (m, 2H)	1‴	99.7	5.11 (dd, 9.5, 1.3)
16	27.5	2.48 (m, 2H)	2'''	35.6	(a)
17	92.4	_	3‴	78.8	3.71 (d, 3.0)
18	10.7	2.02 (s, 3H)	4‴	74.1	3.46 (dd, 9.5, 3.0)
19	18.2	1.30 (s, 3H)	5‴	70.9	4.09 (m)
20	209.4	_	6'''	18.9	1.44 (d, 6.1)
21	32.9	2.50 (s, 3H)	$-OCH_3$	58.0	3.45 (s)
1'	165.9	_			

Measured at 600 MHz in pyridine- d_5 at 35°C. (a) Overlapping with other signals.

Table 2. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data of compound **2**.

Position	δ_{C}	$\delta_{ m H}$	Position	δ_{C}	$\delta_{ m H}$
1	39.0	2.43 (m) and 2.52 (m)	2′	114.2	_
2	29.9	1.78 (m) and 2.06 (m)	3'	165.4	_
3	77.7	3.85 (m)	4′	38.2	1.10 (m)
4	39.3	(a)	5'	20.8	0.93 (d, 6.0, 3H)
5	139.4	_	6'	20.9	0.95 (d, 6.0, 3H)
6	119.2	5.30 (br. t)	7′	16.5	2.26 (s, 3H)
7	34.8	2.30 (m) and 2.41 (m)	β-D-Cym		
8	74.3	_	1″	96.4	5.27 (dd, 9.5, 1.3)
9	44.6	1.70 (m)	2"	37.2 ^a	(a)
10	37.4	_	3″	77.9	4.08 (d, 2.6)
11	25.1	2.12 (m) and 2.25 (m)	4″	83.5	3.54 (dd, 9.5, 2.6)
12	72.6	5.03 (dd, 11.6, 3.9)	5″	69.0	4.24 (m)
13	58.0	_	6″	18.7 ^b	1.45 (d, 6.0)
14	89.5	_	$-OCH_3$	58.8	3.57 (s)
15	33.9	2.07 (m, 2H)	β-D-Ole		
16	27.6	2.48 (m, 2H)	1‴	102.2	4.76 (dd, 9.5, 1.3)
17	92.4	_	2'''	37.2 ^a	(a)
18	10.7	2.02 (s, 3H)	3‴	81.4	3.47 (a)
19	18.2	1.30 (s, 3H)	4‴	76.2	3.48 (a)
20	209.4	_	5‴	72.9	3.58 (m)
21	32.9	2.50 (s, 3H)	6‴	18.7 ^b	1.55 (d, 6.0)
1'	166.0	-	$-OCH_3$	57.1	3.46 (s)

Measured at 600 MHz in pyridine- d_5 at 35°C. (a) Overlapping with other signals. ^aInterchangeable. ^bInterchangeable.

Table 3. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectral data of the sugar chain of compound **2**.

Position	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$
β-D-Сут		
1″	97.1	4.85 (dd, 9.5, 1.3)
2"	36.9	2.04 (a) and 1.56 (a)
3″	78.4	3.84 (br. t)
4″	83.8	3.24 (dd, 9.5, 1.3)
5″	69.9	3.84 (m)
6″	18.6 ^a	1.27 (d, 6.0)
-OCH ₃	58.5	3.43 s
β-D-Ole		
1///	102.7	4.58 (dd 9.5, 1.3)
2'''	37.3	2.31 (a) and 1.36 (a)
3‴	81.5	3.20 (ddd, 9.5, 8.7, 2.5)
4‴	76.8	2.96 (t, 9.5)
5‴	73.1	4.49 (m)
6′′′′	18.5 ^b	1.20 (d, 6.0)
-OCH ₃	57.4	3.41 (s)

Measured at 600 MHz in CD₃OD at 35°C. (a) Overlapping with other signals. ^aInterchangeable. ^bInterchangeable.

correlation with the signal at δ 81.4 (C-3^{*III*}). So the signals at δ 102.2, 37.2, 81.4, 76.2, 72.9, 18.7, and 57.1 were determined to be the signals of the terminal sugar which was attached to C-4" of β -cymaropyranoses. Since, the proton signals of H-3^{///} and H-4^{///} were partly overlapped in C₅D₅N, in order to determine the configurations of H-3^{///}, H-4^{///}, and H-5^{*III*}, we retested the ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and 2D NMR spectral data of compound 2 in CD₃OD. The signals of sugars in CD₃OD were determined by analysis of ¹H-¹H COSY, HMBC, and HSQC spectral data (Table 3). The signal of H-4^{'''} in CD₃OD was triplet at δ 2.96 (J = 9.5 Hz), and the signal of H-3^{*III*} in CD₃OD was at δ 3.20 (ddd, J = 9.5, 8.7, and 2.5 Hz), that could only happen if H-3^{III}, H-4^{III}, and H-5^{III} were all axial. In addition to the axial configuration of H-1^{///} with coupling constant J = 9.5 and 1.3 Hz, the terminal sugar was considered to be β -D-oleandropyranose, which was in acquirement by comparing the NMR data with those reported in literature [2]. Thus, compound 2 was determined as caudatin 3-O- β -D-oleandropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-cymaropyranoside.

3. Experimental

3.1. General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-EImer 241 polarimeter. UV spectra were measured on a Shimadzu UV-1601. IR spectra were taken on a Bruker IFS-55 infrared spectrophotometer. The NMR spectral data were recorded on Bruker AV-600 (600 MHz for ¹H and 150 MHz for ¹³C) in C₅D₅N with TMS as internal standard. The HRFABMS data were obtained on the Micros Mass Autospec-UltimaE TOF mass spectrophotometer. Chromatography was performed on silica gel (200–300 mesh, Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Factory), Sephadex LH-20, reversed-phase HPLC (Shimadzu LC-8A vp).

3.2. Plant material

The roots of *C. wallichii* Wight were bought in October 2005 in Yunnan province, China. A voucher specimen (No. 6040) was identified by Professor Qishi Sun and deposited in the School of Traditional Chinese Materia Medica of Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, Shenyang City, China.

3.3. Extraction and isolation

The dried roots (10 kg) were extracted with EtOH (95%) for three times, 2h each. The extract (1300 g) was successively partitioned with EtOAc, *n*-BuOH, and H₂O. The EtOAc soluble fraction (150 g) was subjected to silica gel column, eluted with CHCl₃– CH₃OH (100:1–0:1), yielding 12 fractions. Fraction 4 (20 g) was purified by Sephadex LH-20 (CH₃OH) to yield two fractions. 4 g of the second fraction (15 g) was subjected to HPLC, eluted with CH₃OH (80%) and afforded compounds **1** (50 mg) and **2** (35 mg).

3.3.1. Caudatin-3-O- β -D-cymaropyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-digitoxopyranoside (1)

White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 20.6$ (*c* 0.89, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} : 220 nm;

G. Chen et al.

IR (KBr) ν_{max} (cm⁻¹) 1710, 1640, and 1225; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectral data, see Table 1; HRFABMS *m*/*z*: 764.4373 [M]⁺ (calcd for C₄₁H₆₄O₁₃, 764.4347).

3.3.2. Caudatin-3-O- β -D-oleandropyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-cymaropyranoside (2)

White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} - 15.0$ (*c* 0.83, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} : 220 nm; IR (KBr) ν_{max} (cm⁻¹) 1710, 1640, and 1225; ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectral data, see Table 2; HRFABMS *m*/*z*: 778.4517 [M]⁺ (calcd for C₄₂H₆₆O₁₃, 778.4503).

Acknowledgment

This research work was financially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China, Project No. 30672612.

References

- [1] Z.X. Zhang, J. Zhou. Acta Chim. Sin. **41**, 1058 (1983).
- [2] Y.H. Zhang, Y.Y. Wen, and T.T. Kuang, *Tianran Chanwu Yanjiu Yu Kaifa* 12, 83 (2000).
- [3] H. Yeo, K.W. Kim, J. Kim, and Y.H. Choi, *Phytochemistry* 49, 1129 (1998).
- [4] W. Tsutomu and N. Tadakata, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 51, 1036 (2003).